

1 BOIES, SCHILLER & FLEXNER LLP
2 RICHARD J. POCKER (NV Bar No. 3568)
3 300 South Fourth Street, Suite 800
Las Vegas, NV 89101
4 Telephone: (702) 382-7300
Facsimile: (702) 382-2755
rpocker@bsflp.com

5 BOIES, SCHILLER & FLEXNER LLP
STEVEN C. HOLTZMAN (*pro hac vice*)
6 FRED NORTON (*pro hac vice*)
KIERAN P. RINGGENBERG (*pro hac vice*)
7 1999 Harrison Street, Suite 900
Oakland, CA 94612
8 Telephone: (510) 874-1000
Facsimile: (510) 874-1460
9 sholtzman@bsflp.com
fnorton@bsflp.com
10 kringgenberg@bsflp.com

11 Attorneys for Plaintiffs Oracle USA, Inc.,
Oracle America, Inc. and Oracle International
12 Corp.

BINGHAM McCUTCHEN LLP
GEOFFREY M. HOWARD (*pro hac vice*)
THOMAS S. HIXSON (*pro hac vice*)
KRISTEN A. PALUMBO (*pro hac vice*)
Three Embarcadero Center
San Francisco, CA 94111-4067
Telephone: 415.393.2000
Facsimile: 415.393.2286
geoff.howard@bingham.com
thomas.hixson@bingham.com
kristen.palumbo@bingham.com

DORIAN DALEY (*pro hac vice*)
DEBORAH K. MILLER (*pro hac vice*)
JAMES C. MAROULIS (*pro hac vice*)
ORACLE CORPORATION
500 Oracle Parkway, M/S 5op7
Redwood City, CA 94070
Telephone: 650.506.4846
Facsimile: 650.506.7114
dorian.daley@oracle.com
deborah.miller@oracle.com
jim.maroulis@oracle.com

13

14 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
15 DISTRICT OF NEVADA

16 ORACLE USA, INC., a Colorado corporation;
17 ORACLE AMERICA, INC., a Delaware
corporation; and ORACLE INTERNATIONAL
18 CORPORATION, a California corporation,

19 Plaintiffs,
v.

20 RIMINI STREET, INC., a Nevada corporation;
21 SETH RAVIN, an individual,

22 Defendants.

23

24

25

26

27

28

Case No 2:10-cv-0106-LRH-PAL

**[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING
ORACLE'S MOTION TO SEAL
PORTIONS OF ITS REPLY IN
SUPPORT OF ITS SECOND MOTION
FOR PARTIAL SUMMARY
JUDGMENT AND CERTAIN
SUPPORTING DOCUMENTS**

[PROPOSED] ORDER

Pending before this Court is Oracle’s Motion to Seal Portions of its Reply In Support Of Its Second Motion for Partial Summary Judgment and Certain Supporting Documents [Docket No. 459]. Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 26(c) provides broad discretion for a trial court to permit sealing of court documents for, among other reasons, the protection of “a trade secret or other confidential research, development, or commercial information.” Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(c). Having considered Oracle’s Motion to Seal, compelling reasons having been shown, and good cause existing:

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED THAT Oracle's Motion to Seal is GRANTED. The

11 Clerk of the Court shall file under seal the documents specified in Oracle's Motion.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

13

14 DATED:

15

16

By: _____
Judge, United States District Court

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28